

**Emergency Water Supply Project  
8/29/11 Community Meeting**

Topic	Comment
Project in general	Attendees indicated that the public is generally very supportive of using City-owned sites
	... and of providing amenities such as pocket parks, site improvements to blighted sites, etc.
City Corporation Yard	... seems to be the preferred location
Pierce Road	Attendees like this site because it's City-owned, east of 101, and isn't otherwise developable
40 Middlefield Road	... is also desired because of its vacancy
Fire Station	Commenter pointed out that this site could be advantageous for FD use because of ability to fill engine tanks at the wellhead
Fire Station	Commenter indicated that the redesign of Fire Station No. 1 has been in the works for 20 years and may not be imminent
VA Hospital	Commenter identified this site as appealing because it is centrally located in eastern service area
Flood Park	Commenter identified this site as appealing because it is located away from the busy downtown area, and also because it would offer easy access in case use at the wellhead is needed
Seminary Oaks	If use of Seminary Oaks Park becomes necessary (still considered very undesirable by attendees) Site 3B would be preferred because it would entail less disruption to existing park uses, even though tree removal might be needed. Neighbors indicated that they were more concerned about the central grassy area and trees than about the tree/shrub plantings on edges of park.
Project in general	Commenter indicated that he considers the No Project Option "the most viable": "City is telling me this is now a compliance issue – it feels weird that we're just waking up and saying wow, we're now out of compliance," and information on relevant regulations and effective date. Specific concerns about the project from this commenter include disruption related to construction laydown; need for vehicle access and parking; effects of vehicle/diesel exhaust; and need for generator, aboveground fuel tank with secondary containment, chemical treatment, electrical panel, fuel delivery, monthly test runs, and long-term appearance and need for maintenance of building (e.g., graffiti removal). Commenter also expressed concern about potential for subsidence ("a huge concern") and negative effects on existing wells (has shallow well used for irrigation on his property). Commenter later added that he was "being convinced" of project value.
Preferred sites based on	1. 40 Middlefield Road or Fire Station No. 1 (access for citizens

Topic	Comment
comments from this meeting	and fire use) 2. 878 Pierce Road (access, east of 101) 3. VA (close to 101, fire use) 4. Flood Park (offers easy access, away from downtown, would maintain traffic route)