DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Menlo Gateway Project Environmental Impact Report

SCH # 2005062161

July 2009

Prepared for:

Community Development Department

Prepared by:

PBS&J
353 Sacramento Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 362-1500

03291 | JCS | 09

CITY OF MENLO PARK

Menlo Gateway Project

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
SCH # 2005062161

Prepared for:

City of Menlo Park
Community Development Department

Prepared by:

PBS&J
353 Sacramento Street
San Francisco, CA 94111

(415) 362-1500

July 2009

City of Menlo Park
Menlo Gateway Project DEIR

Table of Contents

SUMMARY

S.1 Project Description S-1
S.2 Areas of Controversy, Issues to be Resolved S-3
S.3 EIR Conclusions S-4
S.4 Alternatives S-4

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of Environmental Impact Report 1-1
1.2 EIR Process 1-2
1.3 Standard for Adequacy 1-5
1.4 Effect on the Environment 1-5
1.5 Cumulative Impact Assessment 1-6
1.6 Economic and Social Effects 1-6
1.7 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting 1-7

2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

2.1 Introduction 2-1
2.2 Project Location and Setting 2-1
2.3 Project Objectives 2-4
2.4 Project Characteristics 2-5
2.5 Project Approvals 2-22

3. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING, IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

3.0 Introduction to the Environmental Analysis 3.0-1
3.1 Aesthetics 3.1-1
3.2 Air Quality 3.2-1
3.3 Biological Resources 3.3-1
3.4 Cultural Resources 3.4-1
3.5 Hydrology and Water Quality 3.5-1
3.6 Hazardous Materials 3.6-1
3.7 Land Use 3.7-1
3.8 Noise 3.8-1
3.9 Population and Housing 3.9-1
3.10 Public Services 3.10-1
3.11 Traffic and Circulation 3.11-1

Page

3.12 Utilities and Service Systems 3.12-1
3.13 Climate Change 3.13-1

4. GROWTH INDUCEMENT 4-1

4.1 Introduction 4-1
4.2 Growth Inducement 4-1

5. UNAVOIDABLE SIGNIFICANT ADVERSE IMPACTS 5-1

5.1 Introduction 5-1
5.2 Significant and Unavoidable Impacts 5-1

6. ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT 6-1

6.1 Introduction 6-1
6.2 Alternative 1 — Existing Building Reoccupied (No Project) 6-9
6.3 Alternative 2 — Existing M-2 Build-Out Maximum FAR of 45 Percent 6-16
6.4 Alternative 3 —M-2 Build-Out with Office at 45 Percent FAR with Office, and Hotel/ Health Club/Restaurant/Retail 6-22
6.4 Alternative 4 — Total FAR of 110 Percent with Office, and Hotel/Health Club/Restaurant/ Retail 6-29
6.5 Alternative 5 — Total FAR of 117 Percent with Office, and Hotel/Health Club/Restaurant/Retail 6-36
6.6 Environmentally Superior Alternative 6-43

7. IRREVERSIBLE ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGES 7-1

8. REPORT PREPARATION 8-1

APPENDICES – E THROUGH J ARE INCLUDED ON A CD AT THE BACK OF THE DOCUMENT

A. Notice of Preparation
B. Initial Study
C. Comments Received in Response to the NOP
D. Proposed General Plan and Zoning Ordinance Amendments
E. Preliminary Hydrology Report
F. Housing Needs Analysis
G. Transportation Impact Analysis
H. Water Supply Assessment
I. Climate Change Calculations
J. Preliminary TDM Plan

Page

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1 Project Location 2-2
Figure 2-2 Project Area 2-3
Figure 2-3 Independence Site Plan 2-8
Figure 2-4 Constitution Site Plan 2-10
Figure 2-5 West and South Elevations 2-12
Figure 2-6 Garage B - Plans 2-13
Figure 2-7 North and South Elevations 2-14
Figure 2-8 East and South Elevations 2-15
Figure 2-9 Project Area Streetscape Improvements 2-21

Figure 3.1-1 A&B Existing Visual Character of the Independence Site 3.1-3
Figure 3.1-2 A&B Existing Visual Character of the Constitution Site 3.1-4
Figure 3.1-3 A&B Existing Visual Character of the Project Area 3.1-5
Figure 3.1-4 Visual Character of the Surrounding Area/Project Vicinity 3.1-7
Figure 3.1-5 Visual Character of the Surrounding Area/Project Vicinity 3.1-8
Figure 3.1-6 Viewpoints of the Independence and Constitution Sites 3.1-11
Figure 3.1-7 Visual Simulation – 101 Southbound 3.1-15
Figure 3.1-8 Visual Simulation – Marsh Road Overpass 3.1-17
Figure 3.1-9 Visual Simulation - Northbound 101 ..... 3.1-18
Figure 3.1-10 Visual Simulation - Haven Avenue Southbound 3.1-19
Figure 3.1-11 Visual Simulation - Chrysler Intersection 3.1-21
Figure 3.1-12 Visual Simulation - Path at Base of Mound 3.1-22

Figure 3.3-1 Sensitive Species Occurrences 3.3-4

Figure 3.5-1 Constitution Site – Existing Conditions, Land Use, and Drainage 3.5-4
Figure 3.5-2 Independence Site – Existing Conditions, Land Use, and Drainage 3.5-5
Figure 3.5-3 Project Area within Flood Hazards Zones 3.5-6

Figure 3.7-1 Project Land Use Map 3.7-3

Figure 3.8-1 Noise Measurement Locations 3.8-5

Figure 3.11-1 Study Area 3.11-3
Figure 3.11-2 Area Transit Routes 3.11-7
Figure 3.11-3 Existing Lane Designations 3.11-8
Figure 3.11-4 Existing Peak Hour Volumes 3.11-9
Figure 3.11-5 Existing Average Daily Traffic (ADT) 3.11-10
Figure 3.11-6 Near Term No Project Peak Hour Volumes 3.11-19
Figure 3.11-7 Near Term No Project Average Daily Traffic (ADT) 3.11-22
Figure 3.11-8 Trip Distribution Percentages 3.11-26
Figure 3.11-9 Project Peak Hour Volumes 3.11-27
Figure 3.11-10 Near Term Plus Project Peak Hour Volumes 3.11-30
Figure 3.11-11 Near Term Plus Project Average Daily Traffic (ADT) 3.11-38
Figure 3.11-12 Cumulative No Project Peak Hour Volumes 3.11-45
Figure 3.11-13 Cumulative Plus Project Peak Hour Volumes 3.11-47
Figure 3.11-14 Cumulative No Project Average Daily Traffic (ADT) 3.11-52

Page

Figure 3.11-15 Cumulative Plus Project Average Daily Traffic (ADT) 3.11-53

Figure 3.12-1 Water Usage with Supply and Demand Projections 3.12-26
Figure 3.12-2 Water Usage with Supply and Demand Projections 3.12-28

Figure 6-1 Near-Term Plus Alternative 1 Peak Hour Volumes 6-11
Figure 6-2 Cumulative Plus Alternative 1 Average Daily Traffic (ADT) 6-12
Figure 6-3 Cumulative Plus Alternative 1 Peak Hour Volumes 6-13
Figure 6-4 Cumulative Plus Alternative 1 Average Daily Traffic (ADT) 6-14
Figure 6-5 Near-Term Plus Alternative 2 Peak Hour Volumes 6-17
Figure 6-6 Near-Term Plus Alternative 2 Average Daily Traffic (ADT) 6-18
Figure 6-7 Cumulative Plus Alternative 2 Peak Hour Volumes 6-19
Figure 6-8 Cumulative Plus Alternative 2 Average Daily Traffic (ADT) 6-20
Figure 6-9 Near-Term Plus Alternative 3 Peak Hour Volumes 6-24
Figure 6-10 Near-Term Plus Alternative 3 Average Daily Traffic (ADT) 6-25
Figure 6-11 Cumulative Plus Alternative 3 Peak Hour Volumes 6-26
Figure 6-12 Cumulative Plus Alternative 3 Average Daily Traffic (ADT) 6-27
Figure 6-13 Near-Term Plus Alternative 4 Peak Hour Volumes 6-31
Figure 6-14 Near-Term Plus Alternative 4 Average Daily Traffic (ADT) 6-32
Figure 6-15 Cumulative Plus Alternative 4 Peak Hour Volumes 6-33
Figure 6-16 Cumulative Plus Alternative 4 Average Daily Traffic (ADT) 6-34
Figure 6-17 Near-Term Plus Alternative 5 Peak Hour Volumes 6-38
Figure 6-18 Near-Term Plus Alternative 5 Average Daily Traffic (ADT) 6-39
Figure 6-19 Cumulative Plus Alternative 5 Peak Hour Volumes 6-40
Figure 6-20 Cumulative Plus Alternative 5 Average Daily Traffic (ADT) 6-41

LIST OF TABLES

Table S-1 Menlo Gateway Project Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures S-7

Table 2-1 Maximum Allowable Development in the Project Area under the Proposed M-3 District 2-6
Table 2-2 Comparison of Existing and Proposed Development under M-2 and M-3 Zoning Regulations 2-7
Table 2-3 Proposed Menlo Gateway Project Components 2-9

Table 3.2-1 2008 Estimated Average Daily Emissions 3.2-3
Table 3.2-2 Summary of Ambient Air Quality in the Project Vicinity 3.2-5
Table 3.2-3 Projected Daily Operational Stationary and Mobile Source Emissions at the Independence and Constitution Sites 3.2-14
Table 3.2-4 Near Term Scenario – Carbon Monoxide Concentrations at Selected Locations .. 3.2-15
Table 3.2-5 Cumulative Term Scenario – Carbon Monoxide Concentrations at Selected Locations 3.2-19

Table 3.3-1 Special-Status Species Potentially Occurring within the Project Area 3.3-5

Table 3.5-1 Typical Pollutant Concentrations in Stormwater for Industrial and Commercial Land Uses 3.5-20

Page

Table 3.7-1 Consistency Analysis of the Proposed Project with the Relevant Provisions of the Menlo Park General Plan 3.7-10

Table 3.8-1 Representative Environmental Noise Levels 3.8-2
Table 3.8-2 Human Response to Different Levels of Groundborne Vibration 3.8-4
Table 3.8-3 Daytime Noise Levels Measurements at Selected Locations in/around the Project Area 3.8-4
Table 3.8-4 Existing (Year 2005) Traffic Noise Levels at Selected Locations in the Vicinity of the Project Area (24-Hour Average) 3.8-6
Table 3.8-5 Project Increment to Near-Term Future Noise Levels at Representative Locations in the Project Vicinity (24-hour Average) 3.8-10
Table 3.8-6 Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment 3.8-12
Table 3.8-7 Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment 3.8-12
Table 3.8-8 Noise Ranges of Typical Construction Equipment 3.8-15
Table 3.8-9 Typical Outdoor Construction Noise Levels 3.8-16
Table 3.8-10 Project Increment to Long-Term Future Noise Levels (Year 2025) at Representative Locations in the Project Vicinity (24-hour Average) 3.8-19

Table 3.9-1 Population Trends in the City of Menlo Park, San Mateo County and the San Francisco Bay Area, 2000-2025 ..... 3.9-2
Table 3.9-2 Employment Trends in the City of Menlo Park, San Mateo County, and the San Francisco Bay Area, 2000-2025 (Total Number of Jobs) 3.9-3
Table 3.9-3 Comparison of Number of Jobs to Employed Residents in the City of Menlo Park. 3.9-3
Table 3.9-4 Housing Trends in City of Menlo Park, San Mateo County, and the San Francisco Bay Area, 2000-2025 (Households) 3.9-4
Table 3.9-5 Projected Employment Increase for the Proposed Project 3.9-7

Table 3.11-1 Existing Levels of Service 3.11-11
Table 3.11-2 Study Intersection Level of Service Significance Threshold 3.11-12
Table 3.11-3 Near Term Development Projects in the Study Area Vicinity 3.11-17
Table 3.11-4 Near-Term Levels of Service 3.11-20
Table 3.11-5 Project Trip Generation 3.11-25
Table 3.11-6 Near Term Plus Project Conditions Levels of Service 3.11-28
Table 3.11-7 Near Term AM Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service Comparison Summary 3.11-31
Table 3.11-8 Near Term PM Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service Comparison Summary 3.11-32
Table 3.11-9 Near Term Plus Project Average Daily Traffic Comparison Summary 3.11-37
Table 3.11-10 Routes of Regional Significance – Project Conditions (Independence Site and Constitution Site) 3.11-41
Table 3.11-11 Cumulative No Project Conditions and Cumulative Plus Project Conditions Peak Hour Levels of Service 3.11-46
Table 3.11-12 Cumulative Plus Project Conditions Average Daily Traffic Comparison Summary 3.11-49
Table 3.11-13 Summary of Potential Route of Regional Significance Segment Mitigation Measures 3.11-54

Table 3.12-1 Supply Sources and System-Wide Reductions 3.12-2
Table 3.12-2 MPMWD Purchase Requests in Normal Years (mgd) 3.12-5

Page

Table 3.12-3 Summary of Existing and Estimated Water Demands Specific Development Proposed at the Independence and Constitution sites 3.12-14
Table 3.12-4 Citywide Demand Projections (mgd) 3.12-18
Table 3.12-5 Menlo Gateway Project Estimated Wastewater Generation.....3.12-19
Table 3.12-6 GPA/ZOA Estimated Wastewater Generation 3.12-20
Table 3.12-7 Menlo Gateway Project Estimated Waste Disposal Rates by Industry.....3.12-21
Table 3.12-8 GPA/ZOA Estimated Waste Disposal Rates by Industry.....3.12-22
Table 3.12-9 Supply and Demand -Comparison for Normal, Critical Dry, and Multiple Dry Years 3.12-25
Table 3.12-10 Capital Improvement Program - Fiscal Year Budget 3.12-30

Table 3.13-1 Global Warming Potentials and Atmospheric Lifetimes of Select Greenhouse Gases 3.13-4
Table 3.13-2 California Greenhouse Gas Reductions Targets 3.13-7
Table 3.13-3 Existing Annual Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions Within the Project Area 3.13-9
Table 3.13-4 Menlo Park Climate Change Action Plan Emissions Targets 3.13-18
Table 3.13-5 Increased Annual Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Proposed Project.....3.13-20
Table 3.13-6 Proposed Project Emissions Reduction Strategies.....3.13-22
Table 3.13-7 Operational Greenhouse Gas Reductions, Proposed Project.....3.13-23

Table 6-1 Project and Alternatives Data Table 6-3
Table 6-2 Comparison of Alternatives for Non-Traffic Significant and Unavoidable Project Impacts 6-5
Table 6-3 Intersection Impact Significance Summary 6-6
Table 6-4 Roadway Segment Impact Significance Summary..... 6-7
Table 6-5 Routes of Regional Significance Impact Significance Summary 6-7
Table 6-6 Traffic Significance Comparison 6-8